

# COGNITIVE DISSONANCE EXAMPLES IN ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOUR

**COGNITIVE DISSONANCE EXAMPLES IN ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOUR** REPRESENT A FASCINATING AND IMPACTFUL AREA OF STUDY, SHEDDING LIGHT ON THE PSYCHOLOGICAL DISCOMFORT INDIVIDUALS EXPERIENCE WHEN HOLDING CONFLICTING BELIEFS, ATTITUDES, OR VALUES WITHIN THE PROFESSIONAL SPHERE. THIS DISCOMFORT OFTEN ARISES WHEN AN EMPLOYEE'S ACTIONS DIVERGE FROM THEIR INTERNAL CONVICTIONS, OR WHEN ORGANIZATIONAL EXPECTATIONS CLASH WITH PERSONAL ETHICS. UNDERSTANDING THESE INSTANCES IS CRUCIAL FOR FOSTERING A HEALTHIER, MORE PRODUCTIVE, AND ETHICAL WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENT. THIS ARTICLE WILL DELVE INTO THE CORE CONCEPT OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE, EXPLORE ITS COMMON TRIGGERS IN ORGANIZATIONAL SETTINGS, AND PROVIDE DETAILED EXAMPLES OF HOW IT MANIFESTS ACROSS VARIOUS FACETS OF BUSINESS OPERATIONS, FROM ETHICAL DECISION-MAKING TO CHANGE MANAGEMENT. FURTHERMORE, WE WILL EXAMINE THE SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS OF UNRESOLVED DISSONANCE ON EMPLOYEES AND THE ORGANIZATION AS A WHOLE, BEFORE OUTLINING EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES LEADERS CAN EMPLOY TO MITIGATE AND MANAGE THIS PSYCHOLOGICAL PHENOMENON, ULTIMATELY PROMOTING GREATER ALIGNMENT AND WELL-BEING.

- UNDERSTANDING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN THE WORKPLACE
- COMMON TRIGGERS OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN ORGANIZATIONS
- DETAILED COGNITIVE DISSONANCE EXAMPLES IN ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOUR
  - ETHICAL DILEMMAS AND MORAL CONFLICT
  - RESISTANCE TO ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE
  - DISCREPANCIES IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND SELF-PERCEPTION
  - MERGERS, ACQUISITIONS, AND CULTURAL INTEGRATION
  - EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND RETENTION CHALLENGES
  - DECISION-MAKING UNDER PRESSURE AND JUSTIFICATION
- IMPACTS OF UNRESOLVED COGNITIVE DISSONANCE ON ORGANIZATIONS
- STRATEGIES FOR MANAGING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN THE WORKPLACE

## UNDERSTANDING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN THE WORKPLACE

COGNITIVE DISSONANCE, A THEORY PIONEERED BY LEON FESTINGER IN THE 1950s, DESCRIBES THE MENTAL DISCOMFORT (PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS) EXPERIENCED BY A PERSON WHO SIMULTANEOUSLY HOLDS TWO OR MORE CONTRADICTIONARY BELIEFS, IDEAS, OR VALUES; OR PARTICIPATES IN AN ACTION THAT GOES AGAINST ONE OF THEIR BELIEFS, IDEAS, OR VALUES. IN THE CONTEXT OF ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOUR, THIS DISCOMFORT OFTEN EMERGES WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL'S PERSONAL VALUES, BELIEFS, OR ATTITUDES CONFLICT WITH THE DEMANDS, POLICIES, OR CULTURE OF THEIR WORKPLACE. FOR INSTANCE, AN EMPLOYEE WHO VALUES HONESTY MIGHT EXPERIENCE DISSONANCE WHEN INSTRUCTED TO EXAGGERATE SALES FIGURES, CREATING AN INTERNAL CONFLICT THAT REQUIRES RESOLUTION.

THIS PSYCHOLOGICAL PHENOMENON IS NOT MERELY A TRANSIENT FEELING OF UNEASE; IT CAN PROFOUNDLY INFLUENCE AN INDIVIDUAL'S MOTIVATION, JOB SATISFACTION, DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES, AND OVERALL WELL-BEING. WHEN CONFRONTED WITH DISSONANCE, INDIVIDUALS ARE TYPICALLY DRIVEN TO REDUCE THIS DISCOMFORT BY CHANGING THEIR BELIEFS, ATTITUDES, OR ACTIONS, OR BY RATIONALIZING THE DISCREPANCY. UNDERSTANDING THE DYNAMICS OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IS VITAL FOR

MANAGERS AND HR PROFESSIONALS TO DIAGNOSE UNDERLYING ISSUES AFFECTING EMPLOYEE MORALE, ETHICAL CONDUCT, AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS.

## COMMON TRIGGERS OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN ORGANIZATIONS

SEVERAL FACTORS WITHIN AN ORGANIZATIONAL ENVIRONMENT CAN SERVE AS POWERFUL TRIGGERS FOR COGNITIVE DISSONANCE. THESE TRIGGERS OFTEN INVOLVE SITUATIONS WHERE EMPLOYEES ARE FACED WITH CHOICES OR DEMANDS THAT CHALLENGE THEIR INTERNAL EQUILIBRIUM. RECOGNIZING THESE COMMON SOURCES ALLOWS ORGANIZATIONS TO PROACTIVELY ADDRESS POTENTIAL AREAS OF CONFLICT AND SUPPORT THEIR WORKFORCE MORE EFFECTIVELY.

ONE PRIMARY TRIGGER IS THE CLASH BETWEEN PERSONAL ETHICS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PRACTICES. EMPLOYEES MIGHT FIND THEMSELVES IN SITUATIONS WHERE COMPANY POLICIES OR DIRECTIVES CONFLICT WITH THEIR DEEPLY HELD MORAL PRINCIPLES, LEADING TO SIGNIFICANT INTERNAL STRUGGLE. ANOTHER COMMON TRIGGER IS ROLE CONFLICT, WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE EXPECTED TO PERFORM DUTIES THAT CONTRADICT THEIR CORE PROFESSIONAL IDENTITY OR EXPERTISE. FURTHERMORE, EXPOSURE TO CONTRADICTORY INFORMATION, SUCH AS CONFLICTING MESSAGES FROM DIFFERENT LEVELS OF MANAGEMENT OR DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN STATED VALUES AND ACTUAL CORPORATE BEHAVIOUR, CAN ALSO INDUCE DISSONANCE. THESE SITUATIONS CREATE A FERTILE GROUND FOR EMPLOYEES TO EXPERIENCE THE DISCOMFORT ASSOCIATED WITH HOLDING INCONSISTENT THOUGHTS OR ENGAGING IN INCONGRUENT ACTIONS.

## DETAILED COGNITIVE DISSONANCE EXAMPLES IN ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOUR

TO FULLY GRASP THE IMPLICATIONS OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE, IT IS ESSENTIAL TO EXPLORE SPECIFIC SCENARIOS WHERE IT MANIFESTS IN THE WORKPLACE. THESE REAL-WORLD EXAMPLES ILLUSTRATE THE DIVERSE WAYS EMPLOYEES NAVIGATE CONFLICTING COGNITIONS AND THE IMPACT ON THEIR BEHAVIOUR AND THE ORGANIZATION.

### ETHICAL DILEMMAS AND MORAL CONFLICT

ONE OF THE MOST POTENT SOURCES OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE ARISES FROM ETHICAL DILEMMAS. CONSIDER A SALES PROFESSIONAL WHO IS PRESSURED BY MANAGEMENT TO MEET AGGRESSIVE QUOTAS, EVEN IF IT MEANS MISREPRESENTING A PRODUCT'S CAPABILITIES TO POTENTIAL CLIENTS. THE EMPLOYEE LIKELY VALUES HONESTY AND INTEGRITY IN THEIR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT. HOWEVER, THE EXTERNAL PRESSURE TO ACHIEVE SALES TARGETS, POSSIBLY TIED TO JOB SECURITY OR PROMOTION, CREATES A STRONG CONFLICT. THIS INDIVIDUAL EXPERIENCES DISSONANCE BETWEEN THEIR DESIRE TO BE TRUTHFUL AND THE DEMAND TO ENGAGE IN DECEPTIVE PRACTICES. TO REDUCE THIS DISCOMFORT, THEY MIGHT RATIONALIZE THEIR ACTIONS BY TELLING THEMSELVES THAT "EVERYONE DOES IT," OR "IT'S JUST A SMALL EXAGGERATION," THEREBY ALTERING THEIR PERCEPTION OF THE ACTION RATHER THAN CHANGING THEIR BEHAVIOUR OR CHALLENGING THE ORGANIZATIONAL DEMAND.

### RESISTANCE TO ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE

ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE INITIATIVES FREQUENTLY INDUCE COGNITIVE DISSONANCE AMONG EMPLOYEES. WHEN A COMPANY ANNOUNCES A SIGNIFICANT RESTRUCTURING, NEW TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTATION, OR A SHIFT IN STRATEGIC DIRECTION, EMPLOYEES OFTEN POSSESS ESTABLISHED BELIEFS ABOUT HOW THINGS "SHOULD" BE DONE OR HOW THEIR ROLES "OUGHT" TO FUNCTION. A NEW SYSTEM MIGHT CONTRADICT THEIR BELIEF THAT THE OLD SYSTEM WAS EFFICIENT OR SUPERIOR. FOR EXAMPLE, AN EXPERIENCED EMPLOYEE WHO HAS ALWAYS USED A PARTICULAR SOFTWARE MIGHT BE FORCED TO ADOPT A NEW, UNFAMILIAR ONE. THEY MIGHT BELIEVE THE OLD SYSTEM WAS BETTER AND MORE PRODUCTIVE, BUT ARE NOW COMPELLED TO USE THE NEW ONE. THIS CREATES DISSONANCE. THEY MIGHT RESIST THE CHANGE, COMPLAIN ABOUT THE NEW SYSTEM, OR RELUCTANTLY ADOPT IT WHILE INTERNALLY RATIONALIZING ITS POTENTIAL BENEFITS TO REDUCE THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL DISCOMFORT, PERHAPS BY FOCUSING ON ONE MINOR IMPROVEMENT THE NEW SYSTEM OFFERS.

### DISCREPANCIES IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND SELF-PERCEPTION

PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS CAN ALSO BE A SIGNIFICANT TRIGGER FOR COGNITIVE DISSONANCE, PARTICULARLY WHEN THERE IS

A MISMATCH BETWEEN AN EMPLOYEE'S SELF-PERCEPTION AND THE FEEDBACK RECEIVED. AN EMPLOYEE WHO STRONGLY BELIEVES THEY ARE A HIGH PERFORMER AND CONSISTENTLY CONTRIBUTES VALUABLE WORK MIGHT RECEIVE A MEDIOCRE PERFORMANCE REVIEW OR NEGATIVE FEEDBACK. THIS CREATES A DIRECT CONFLICT BETWEEN THEIR INTERNAL BELIEF ("I AM EXCELLENT") AND THE EXTERNAL REALITY PRESENTED BY THE EVALUATION ("YOU ARE AVERAGE/NEED IMPROVEMENT"). TO RESOLVE THIS DISSONANCE, THE EMPLOYEE MIGHT:

- DISMISS THE FEEDBACK AS UNFAIR OR BIASED.
- BLAME EXTERNAL FACTORS (E.G., "MY MANAGER DOESN'T UNDERSTAND MY WORK").
- SEEK FURTHER EVIDENCE OF THEIR OWN GOOD PERFORMANCE TO REINFORCE THEIR SELF-IMAGE.
- RELUCTANTLY ACCEPT THE FEEDBACK AND SEEK TO IMPROVE, ALTERING THEIR SELF-PERCEPTION.

THE CHOSEN RESOLUTION STRATEGY SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACTS THEIR FUTURE ENGAGEMENT AND MOTIVATION.

## MERGERS, ACQUISITIONS, AND CULTURAL INTEGRATION

WHEN TWO ORGANIZATIONS MERGE OR ONE ACQUIRES ANOTHER, EMPLOYEES FROM BOTH ENTITIES OFTEN EXPERIENCE PROFOUND COGNITIVE DISSONANCE. EACH COMPANY TYPICALLY HAS ITS OWN DISTINCT CULTURE, VALUES, COMMUNICATION STYLES, AND OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES. EMPLOYEES FROM THE ACQUIRED COMPANY, FOR INSTANCE, MIGHT DEEPLY VALUE THEIR PREVIOUS COMPANY'S FAMILY-LIKE ATMOSPHERE AND COLLABORATIVE DECISION-MAKING. IF THE ACQUIRING COMPANY HAS A MORE HIERARCHICAL, RESULTS-DRIVEN CULTURE, THESE EMPLOYEES WILL EXPERIENCE A STRONG CONFLICT. THEIR INGRAINED BELIEFS ABOUT "HOW WORK SHOULD BE" CLASH WITH THE NEW REALITY. THEY MAY STRUGGLE TO RECONCILE THEIR LOYALTY TO THE OLD CULTURE WITH THE NEED TO CONFORM TO THE NEW ONE, LEADING TO FEELINGS OF BETRAYAL, CONFUSION, AND RESISTANCE, OR EVENTUALLY ADOPTING THE NEW CULTURE BY RATIONALIZING ITS BENEFITS.

## EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND RETENTION CHALLENGES

COGNITIVE DISSONANCE ALSO PLAYS A ROLE IN EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND RETENTION. AN EMPLOYEE MIGHT JOIN AN ORGANIZATION BASED ON PROMISES OF A STRONG WORK-LIFE BALANCE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR GROWTH, AND A POSITIVE TEAM ENVIRONMENT. HOWEVER, IF THE REALITY FALLS SHORT – WITH EXCESSIVE WORKLOADS, LACK OF DEVELOPMENT, OR A TOXIC CULTURE – A CONFLICT ARISES BETWEEN THEIR INITIAL POSITIVE BELIEFS ABOUT THE COMPANY AND THEIR CURRENT NEGATIVE EXPERIENCES. THIS DISSONANCE CAN LEAD TO DISENGAGEMENT. TO RESOLVE IT, THE EMPLOYEE MIGHT:

1. RATIONALIZE THE NEGATIVE ASPECTS ("IT'S LIKE THIS EVERYWHERE").
2. REDUCE THE IMPORTANCE OF THEIR INITIAL EXPECTATIONS.
3. SEEK NEW EMPLOYMENT (CHANGING THEIR ACTION).
4. BECOME A VOCAL CRITIC, TRYING TO CHANGE THE ORGANIZATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.

THE ONGOING STRUGGLE BETWEEN THE DESIRED AND ACTUAL WORKPLACE EXPERIENCE IS A MAJOR DRIVER OF TURNOVER.

## DECISION-MAKING UNDER PRESSURE AND JUSTIFICATION

MANAGERS AND LEADERS FREQUENTLY MAKE DIFFICULT DECISIONS UNDER PRESSURE, OFTEN WITH IMPERFECT INFORMATION OR CONFLICTING OBJECTIVES. AFTER MAKING A TOUGH CALL, SUCH AS LAYING OFF EMPLOYEES, CLOSING A DIVISION, OR COMMITTING SIGNIFICANT RESOURCES TO A RISKY PROJECT, THEY MAY EXPERIENCE POST-DECISIONAL DISSONANCE. FOR EXAMPLE, A MANAGER WHO DECIDES TO DOWNSIZE A TEAM MIGHT GENUINELY VALUE THEIR EMPLOYEES' CONTRIBUTIONS AND WELL-BEING. THE ACT OF LAYING OFF WORKERS CONFLICTS WITH THIS VALUE. TO REDUCE THIS DISSONANCE, THE MANAGER WILL OFTEN

EMPHASIZE THE POSITIVE ASPECTS OF THEIR DECISION (E.G., "IT WAS NECESSARY FOR THE LONG-TERM HEALTH OF THE COMPANY," "IT SAVED OTHER JOBS") AND DOWNPLAY THE NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES, THEREBY JUSTIFYING THEIR CHOICE TO THEMSELVES AND OTHERS.

## IMPACTS OF UNRESOLVED COGNITIVE DISSONANCE ON ORGANIZATIONS

WHEN COGNITIVE DISSONANCE GOES UNADDRESSED IN AN ORGANIZATIONAL CONTEXT, ITS RAMIFICATIONS CAN BE SEVERE AND FAR-REACHING. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL DISCOMFORT EXPERIENCED BY INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEES CAN AGGREGATE TO CREATE SIGNIFICANT ORGANIZATIONAL CHALLENGES. UNRESOLVED DISSONANCE CONTRIBUTES TO ELEVATED STRESS LEVELS AMONG STAFF, LEADING TO INCREASED BURNOUT, ABSENTEEISM, AND PRESENTEEISM (BEING PHYSICALLY PRESENT BUT MENTALLY DISENGAGED). THIS DIRECTLY IMPACTS PRODUCTIVITY, AS EMPLOYEES SPEND MENTAL ENERGY STRUGGLING WITH INTERNAL CONFLICTS RATHER THAN FOCUSING ON THEIR TASKS. FURTHERMORE, CHRONIC DISSONANCE CAN ERODE TRUST IN LEADERSHIP AND THE ORGANIZATION'S STATED VALUES, FOSTERING CYNICISM AND A NEGATIVE WORK CULTURE. HIGH TURNOVER RATES ARE ALSO A COMMON OUTCOME, AS EMPLOYEES ULTIMATELY SEEK ENVIRONMENTS WHERE THEIR VALUES AND ACTIONS ARE MORE CONGRUENT. THIS CYCLE OF DISENGAGEMENT AND DEPARTURE NOT ONLY INCURS RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING COSTS BUT ALSO RESULTS IN THE LOSS OF VALUABLE INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERTISE, SIGNIFICANTLY UNDERMINING ORGANIZATIONAL STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE.

## STRATEGIES FOR MANAGING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IN THE WORKPLACE

EFFECTIVELY MANAGING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE IS CRUCIAL FOR ORGANIZATIONAL HEALTH AND EMPLOYEE WELL-BEING. LEADERS AND HR PROFESSIONALS CAN IMPLEMENT SEVERAL PROACTIVE AND REACTIVE STRATEGIES TO HELP EMPLOYEES NAVIGATE CONFLICTING COGNITIONS AND REDUCE DISCOMFORT.

FIRSTLY, FOSTERING TRANSPARENT AND CONSISTENT COMMUNICATION IS PARAMOUNT. WHEN ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGES OR DIFFICULT DECISIONS ARE MADE, CLEARLY ARTICULATING THE RATIONALE AND ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES CAN HELP EMPLOYEES UNDERSTAND THE CONTEXT AND REDUCE UNCERTAINTY, THEREBY MINIMIZING THE PERCEPTION OF CONTRADICTION. SECONDLY, ESTABLISHING CLEAR ETHICAL GUIDELINES AND PROVIDING CHANNELS FOR ETHICAL CONCERNS ALLOWS EMPLOYEES TO VOICE THEIR DISCOMFORT WITHOUT FEAR OF RETRIBUTION, POTENTIALLY PREVENTING SITUATIONS WHERE THEY FEEL FORCED TO ACT AGAINST THEIR VALUES. THIRDLY, PROMOTING A CULTURE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL SAFETY WHERE EMPLOYEES FEEL COMFORTABLE EXPRESSING DISSENTING OPINIONS AND PROVIDING FEEDBACK CAN IDENTIFY AREAS OF DISSONANCE EARLY. ADDITIONALLY, OFFERING SUPPORT MECHANISMS SUCH AS COUNSELING, COACHING, OR TRAINING CAN EQUIP EMPLOYEES WITH COPING STRATEGIES AND NEW SKILLS TO ADAPT TO CHANGING ENVIRONMENTS. ULTIMATELY, BY PROACTIVELY ADDRESSING THE SOURCES OF COGNITIVE DISSONANCE AND PROVIDING TOOLS FOR ITS RESOLUTION, ORGANIZATIONS CAN CULTIVATE A MORE ALIGNED, ETHICAL, AND RESILIENT WORKFORCE.

## FAQ

### Q: WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY INDICATORS THAT AN EMPLOYEE MIGHT BE EXPERIENCING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE?

A: PRIMARY INDICATORS OF AN EMPLOYEE EXPERIENCING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE OFTEN INCLUDE INCREASED STRESS OR ANXIETY, WITHDRAWAL FROM TEAM ACTIVITIES, A NOTICEABLE DROP IN MOTIVATION OR PRODUCTIVITY, FREQUENT COMPLAINTS ABOUT POLICIES OR PROCEDURES, CYNICISM, OR EXPRESSIONS OF FRUSTRATION REGARDING CONTRADICTORY EXPECTATIONS. THEY MIGHT ALSO ENGAGE IN RATIONALIZATION OF THEIR ACTIONS OR THE ORGANIZATION'S POLICIES, MAKING EXCUSES FOR BEHAVIOURS THAT CONFLICT WITH THEIR STATED BELIEFS.

### Q: HOW DOES COGNITIVE DISSONANCE AFFECT EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND RETENTION?

A: COGNITIVE DISSONANCE NEGATIVELY IMPACTS EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND RETENTION BY CREATING PSYCHOLOGICAL

DISCOMFORT. EMPLOYEES WHO EXPERIENCE A SIGNIFICANT GAP BETWEEN THEIR VALUES OR EXPECTATIONS AND THE ORGANIZATIONAL REALITY ARE LIKELY TO BECOME DISENGAGED, LEADING TO LOWER JOB SATISFACTION AND COMMITMENT. IF THE DISSONANCE PERSISTS AND IS UNRESOLVED, THEY MAY SEEK TO REDUCE THIS DISCOMFORT BY LEAVING THE ORGANIZATION IN SEARCH OF A WORKPLACE THAT ALIGNS BETTER WITH THEIR COGNITIONS.

### **Q: CAN LEADERS INTENTIONALLY USE COGNITIVE DISSONANCE TO INFLUENCE EMPLOYEE BEHAVIOUR?**

A: WHILE LEADERS MIGHT UNINTENTIONALLY CREATE DISSONANCE, INTENTIONALLY MANIPULATING IT IS GENERALLY NOT AN ETHICAL OR SUSTAINABLE STRATEGY FOR INFLUENCING BEHAVIOUR. ATTEMPTS TO FORCE EMPLOYEES INTO SITUATIONS THAT CREATE DISSONANCE CAN LEAD TO RESENTMENT, DISTRUST, DECREASED MORALE, AND ETHICAL BREACHES. A MORE EFFECTIVE AND ETHICAL APPROACH IS TO FOSTER ENVIRONMENTS OF CONGRUENCE AND TRANSPARENCY, REDUCING THE NEED FOR EMPLOYEES TO RESOLVE UNCOMFORTABLE CONFLICTS INTERNALLY.

### **Q: WHAT ROLE DOES TRANSPARENT COMMUNICATION PLAY IN MITIGATING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE DURING ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE?**

A: TRANSPARENT COMMUNICATION PLAYS A CRITICAL ROLE IN MITIGATING COGNITIVE DISSONANCE DURING ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE. BY CLEARLY EXPLAINING THE REASONS FOR CHANGE, THE BENEFITS, AND THE PROCESS, LEADERS CAN HELP EMPLOYEES UNDERSTAND THE NECESSITY AND LOGIC BEHIND NEW DIRECTIVES. THIS REDUCES THE PERCEPTION OF CONTRADICTION BETWEEN PAST PRACTICES AND NEW ONES, HELPING EMPLOYEES RECONCILE THE CHANGE WITH THEIR EXISTING BELIEFS AND REDUCING PSYCHOLOGICAL DISCOMFORT AND RESISTANCE.

### **Q: HOW CAN HR DEPARTMENTS HELP EMPLOYEES MANAGE COGNITIVE DISSONANCE RELATED TO ETHICAL DILEMMAS?**

A: HR DEPARTMENTS CAN HELP MANAGE COGNITIVE DISSONANCE RELATED TO ETHICAL DILEMMAS BY ESTABLISHING CLEAR CODES OF CONDUCT, PROVIDING ETHICAL TRAINING, AND CREATING CONFIDENTIAL CHANNELS FOR REPORTING ETHICAL CONCERNS (E.G., WHISTLEBLOWING POLICIES). THEY SHOULD ALSO ENSURE THAT ETHICAL DILEMMAS ARE ADDRESSED FAIRLY AND CONSISTENTLY, DEMONSTRATING THE ORGANIZATION'S COMMITMENT TO ITS STATED VALUES AND REINFORCING A CULTURE WHERE EMPLOYEES FEEL SUPPORTED IN UPHOLDING THEIR MORAL PRINCIPLES.

## **Cognitive Dissonance Examples In Organizational Behaviour**

Cognitive Dissonance Examples In Organizational Behaviour

### **Related Articles**

- [how to write a resume on iphone](#)
- [proposal and annotated bibliography example](#)
- [formulating a research problem ppt](#)

[Back to Home](#)